



CONNER
STRONG &
BUCKELEW

legislativeUPDATE

December 3, 2012

Eleventh Circuit Affirms Wellness Program Complies with ADA

The United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit upheld a lower court decision that a wellness program that requires employees of Broward County, Florida, to fill out health risk assessments (HRAs) and submit to biometric screenings does not violate the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Under the ADA, employers ordinarily can't require employees to answer questions about disabilities or undergo medical exams, unless a safe harbor or exception applies. Although some questions remain, this case could be a good sign for employers offering wellness programs that require HRAs and biometric screenings.

Broward County offers a wellness program that requires employees to complete an HRA and take a biometric screening that measures glucose and cholesterol levels. Employees who refuse to participate in the program are subject to a \$20 biweekly increase in health insurance premiums. Those who complete the HRA and screening and are diagnosed with certain health conditions – such as asthma, diabetes, kidney disease, or hypertension – can receive disease management coaching and certain free medications.

Employees filed a class action lawsuit against Broward County, arguing that the wellness program violates the ADA's prohibition on disability-related inquiries and medical examinations. The lower court ruled in favor of the employer, holding that the wellness program fits the ADA's safe harbor for bona fide benefit plans. According to the ADA, a bona fide benefit plan must be based on underwriting, classifying, or administering risks and not be a subterfuge for discrimination. The court concluded that the wellness program met this requirement because it was part of a group health plan and had the financial objective of enhancing the benefit plan's cost-effectiveness. Thus, it held that Broward County's wellness program does not violate the ADA.

Surprising many observers, the lower court did not address the question of whether the program met the ADA's "voluntary wellness program" exception, which was expected to be the deciding factor in the case. Under that exception, a wellness program must be "voluntary" if it asks for disability-related questions or requires a medical examination. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), the regulatory agency with authority over the ADA, considers HRAs and biometric screenings to be subject to this provision. However, EEOC guidance does not clearly define what constitutes a voluntary wellness program. Historically, the EEOC questioned whether wellness programs that provide financial incentives, such as the \$20 premium surcharge of the Broward County program, are voluntary.

Employers, concerned that offering financial incentives (either a reward or a penalty) for completing an HRA or biometric screening would be considered involuntary under the ADA, hoped that the lower court would address this question. Instead, the lower court applied the ADA's bona fide benefit plan safe harbor to the Broward County arrangement and did not address the voluntary wellness program issue.

The Eleventh Circuit affirmed the lower court's ruling that the wellness program constitutes a bona fide benefit plan because it is part of a condition or "a term" under the County's group health insurance plan. Although this is a positive outcome for employers, questions and risks remain. This case does not bind courts outside the Eleventh Circuit (Alabama, Florida, and Georgia) or the EEOC to make similar rulings. So, for example, other courts could reject the bona fide benefit plan safe harbor and rule on the voluntary wellness program exception issue.

This compliance determination is highly fact-specific. Employers considering or already sponsoring wellness programs should discuss their particular plan designs with legal counsel to evaluate compliance with the ADA, as well as with other relevant laws, such as the health care reform law, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, the Genetic Information and Nondiscrimination Act, and state laws.

Should you have questions, please contact your Conner Strong & Buckelew account representative toll free at 1-877-861-3220. For a complete list of Legislative Updates issued by Conner Strong & Buckelew, visit our online [Resource Center](#).



connerstrong.com



877-861-3220



news@connerstrong.com



Change My Preferences



INSURANCE | RISK MANAGEMENT | EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

[Click here to change your email preferences or unsubscribe from all communication.](#)